

RESEARCH NOTES

Artificial Intelligence (AI) in Sustainable Finance and Green Banking

Sohan Kumar Jha*

Research Scholar, University Department of Commerce and Business Administration, LNMU, Darbhanga, Bihar

Nirmala Kushwah

Assistant Professor, University Department of Commerce and Business Administration, LNMU, Darbhanga, Bihar

*Corresponding Author Email: sobankumareie@gmail.com

Abstract: *The global financial system is experiencing a structural transformation driven by climate change risks, environmental degradation, and heightened demand for corporate accountability. Sustainable finance and green banking have evolved from voluntary ethical initiatives to regulatory and systemic necessities aimed at aligning capital flows with long-term environmental and social objectives. However, traditional financial risk assessment models remain ill-equipped to integrate fragmented Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) disclosures, forward-looking climate uncertainties, and sustainability-linked systemic risks. Artificial Intelligence (AI) has emerged as a transformative technological catalyst capable of redefining sustainable financial intermediation through advanced data analytics, predictive modelling, natural language processing, and automated decision-making. This study critically examines the structural role of AI in enhancing ESG integration, climate risk analytics, green credit allocation, and sustainable portfolio management. Using a descriptive-analytical research design grounded in secondary data, institutional sustainability reports, regulatory frameworks, and peer-reviewed literature, this study develops an integrated conceptual model linking AI capabilities to sustainable finance outcomes. The findings reveal that AI improves predictive precision, strengthens climate stress testing, reduces information asymmetry, enhances transparency in ESG scoring, and increases operational efficiency in green banking systems. Nevertheless, significant governance challenges remain, including algorithmic bias, model opacity, data quality limitations, cybersecurity risks, and regulatory fragmentation. The study concludes that AI-enabled sustainable finance represents not merely technological enhancement, but also a systemic reconfiguration of financial intermediation aligned with climate transition goals and long-term economic resilience. Policy implications emphasise ethical AI governance, harmonised ESG taxonomies, regulatory coordination, and institutional capacity building to ensure the responsible, transparent, and inclusive deployment of AI technologies in sustainable finance.*

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, Sustainable Finance, Green Banking, ESG Integration, Climate Risk Analytics, Sustainable Financial Governance

INTRODUCTION

The twenty-first-century financial ecosystem is reshaped by environmental and climate-related risks that increasingly threaten macroeconomic stability and institutional resilience. Climate change is no longer considered a distant environmental issue but a material financial risk with implications for asset valuation, credit stability, insurance pricing, and systemic financial governance. Extreme weather events, carbon-intensive industrial transitions, biodiversity losses, and regulatory climate interventions are altering capital allocation patterns worldwide.

Sustainable finance has emerged as a comprehensive framework designed to align financial flows with environmental protection, social equity, and governance integrity. At its core, sustainable finance integrates Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) considerations into investment analysis, credit decisions, portfolio construction, and risk management strategies. Green banking, as a specialised dimension of sustainable finance, focuses on environmentally responsible banking practices, such as renewable energy financing, carbon-conscious lending, eco-efficient operational systems, green bond issuance, and sustainable infrastructure support.

While the regulatory momentum surrounding climate disclosure and sustainability reporting continues to expand globally, financial institutions face structural challenges in integrating ESG metrics into conventional financial models. ESG data remain fragmented across multiple reporting standards, lack comparability, and often rely on voluntary disclosures. Moreover, climate risk modelling requires forward-looking scenario simulations spanning decades that incorporate uncertainty, policy transitions, technological disruptions, and macroeconomic volatility.

Artificial Intelligence (AI) provides a technological foundation capable of addressing these limitations. AI systems process vast volumes of structured and unstructured data, identify hidden patterns and model nonlinear interactions, and generate predictive forecasts beyond the capacity of traditional statistical techniques. Machine learning (ML) algorithms enhance credit scoring and climate stress testing; natural language processing (NLP) extracts sustainability insights from corporate disclosures, big data analytics integrates geospatial and carbon emission

datasets, and automated decision engines support sustainable portfolio allocation.

The integration of AI into sustainable finance represents a shift from compliance-based ESG reporting to predictive data-driven sustainability governance. However, this technological transformation raises ethical, regulatory, and governance concerns that require careful examination.

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

The literature on Artificial Intelligence (AI) in sustainable finance and green banking reflects the convergence of environmental governance, financial risk management, and technological innovation. Early studies on sustainable finance emphasised corporate social responsibility and ethical investing. Scholtens (2006), in *Finance and Corporate Social Responsibility*, argued that financial institutions are not merely capital intermediaries but key actors influencing corporate environmental behaviour. This foundational work positioned finance as an instrument of sustainability governance rather than a passive funding mechanism.

Schoenmaker (2017) introduced the concept of the sustainable finance trilemma in *Investing for the Common Good*, highlighting the tension among financial stability, economic growth, and environmental sustainability. He emphasised that long-term systemic stability requires integrating environmental risk into financial decision-making. This systemic approach laid the intellectual groundwork for technological tools, such as AI, to strengthen sustainability analytics.

In the Indian context, Kothari (2018), in her book *Green Banking in India: Issues and Challenges*, examined the evolution of environmentally responsible banking practices in India. She noted that, while regulatory initiatives encourage green lending and environmental disclosure, their implementation remains constrained by data limitations and inadequate analytical infrastructure. Similarly, M. Y. Khan (2020), in *Financial Services*, highlighted the importance of technological modernization in risk assessment and credit appraisal processes, suggesting that digital systems can enhance transparency and efficiency in banking operations.

Empirical research further reinforces the financial materiality of ESG factors. Fatemi, Glaum, and Kaiser (2018) demonstrated that strong ESG performance is positively associated with firm value, indicating that sustainability integration contributes to long-term financial performance. However, Berg, Koelbel, and Rigobon (2022) found significant divergence among ESG rating agencies due to methodological differences, raising concerns about reliability and comparability. These inconsistencies have strengthened arguments for automated data processing systems capable of standardising ESG evaluation, an area where AI has gained prominence.

Climate risk scholarship has also underscored the need for advanced analytical tools. Giglio, Kelly, and Stroebe (2021) emphasised that climate change constitutes a long-term systemic financial risk that is inadequately captured by traditional asset-pricing models. Bolton and Kacperczyk (2021) further showed that carbon-intensive firms face increasing cost-of-capital penalties, reflecting growing market sensitivity to transition risk. However, both

studies noted the challenges of incomplete and forward-looking climate data, thereby reinforcing the importance of predictive analytics and machine learning.

Research on AI in financial services demonstrates its transformative capacity for risk assessment and decision making. Fuster et al. (2022) found that machine learning models significantly outperform traditional regression models in credit risk prediction. Jiang, Ma, and Shi (2020) emphasized AI's effectiveness in fraud detection, anomaly monitoring, and large-scale data integration. These capabilities are directly applicable to sustainable finance, particularly in detecting greenwashing and monitoring green-bond utilisation.

The Indian regulatory discourse also recognises this transformation. The Reserve Bank of India (2022) discussion paper on climate risk and sustainable finance highlights the need for digital tools and data-driven stress-testing frameworks to strengthen climate risk governance. The World Bank (2022) similarly emphasised that emerging markets require advanced digital infrastructure to support green finance ecosystems.

Despite these advancements, ethical concerns have persisted. Pasquale (2015), in *The Black Box Society*, warned that algorithmic opacity might undermine transparency in financial decision-making. O'Neil (2016), in *Weapons of Math Destruction*, cautioned that biased algorithms can amplify systemic inequalities if not properly governed. These critiques are particularly relevant in sustainable finance, where ESG scores influence capital allocation and developmental outcomes.

Thus, the literature indicates that sustainable finance requires improved ESG measurements, forward-looking climate modelling, and enhanced risk analytics. AI offers powerful tools to address these challenges by integrating structured and unstructured data, improving predictive precision, and strengthening regulatory oversight. Governance frameworks must ensure transparency, accountability, and ethical deployment. While prior studies have examined ESG integration, climate risk, and AI separately, limited research has synthesised these domains within a unified framework of AI-enabled sustainable financial transformation, particularly in the Indian context. This study seeks to bridge this gap.

OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

When climate change pushes finance to support the planet, sustainable finance and green banking play key roles. AI acts as a powerful helper, turning huge amounts of data into clear insights for ESG goals and climate safety. However, we need proof of its real impact and how rules affect it.

This study sets the following main objectives to check AI's role of AI:

1. To examine the role of Artificial Intelligence in strengthening ESG integration and climate risk assessment within sustainable finance frameworks.
2. To evaluate the impact of AI adoption on green banking practices, particularly green credit allocation and sustainable portfolio management.

3. To analyse the governance and regulatory implications of integrating AI into sustainable finance and green banking systems.

HYPOTHESIS

These null hypotheses guided our data checks based on the study’s goals. They assume AI and rules make no real difference, which we test with statistics on bank data, such as adoption rates and ESG scores. If proven wrong, it shows that AI truly helps sustainable finance and governance matters a lot.

H₀₁: AI does not significantly influence ESG integration, climate risk assessment, and green banking performance.

H₀₂: Governance and regulatory frameworks do not significantly affect the effectiveness of AI adoption in sustainable finance.

DESIGN OF THE STUDY

This study adopted a descriptive and explanatory research design using quantitative methods to examine the role of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in Sustainable Finance and Green Banking. This study aimed to test the formulated hypotheses regarding the impact of AI adoption on ESG integration, climate risk assessment, and green banking performance.

Sample Selection

Using a simple random sampling technique, 120 banking and financial professionals were selected from public sector banks, private banks, and financial institutions engaged in green finance initiatives across India.

Out of 120 respondents:

- i. 58% were from public sector banks
- ii. 42% were from private financial institutions
- iii. 65% had more than 5 years of banking experience
- iv. 72% reported familiarity with AI-based financial tools

Tools Used for Data Collection

Data were collected using a structured questionnaire consisting of the following:

- 1. AI Adoption Scale (Self-developed, 10 items)
- 2. Sustainable Finance Performance Scale (12 items)
- 3. Governance & Regulatory Support Scale (8 items)

All items were measured on a 5-point Likert scale ranging from:

- 1 = Strongly Disagree
- 2 = Disagree
- 3 = Neutral
- 4 = Agree
- 5 = Strongly Agree

This study employs a comprehensive array of descriptive and inferential statistical techniques to thoroughly examine the core variables: High AI Adoption (defined as banks achieving greater integration of AI tools in operations, such as predictive analytics and automation), Low AI Adoption (lesser integration, relying mainly on manual processes), Governance Support (quantified through composite scores from regulatory compliance

indices, policy frameworks, and internal oversight mechanisms), and AI Effectiveness (a multifaceted index combining ESG integration levels, climate risk assessment accuracy, green credit allocation rates, and sustainable portfolio performance metrics). All analyses were conducted using SPSS software on secondary datasets sourced from banking reports, RBI disclosures, Bloomberg terminals, and ESG databases, ensuring a sample of at least 120 institutions for statistical power.

The statistical Techniques used in this study are as follows:

1. Mean: This technique computes arithmetic averages of AI Effectiveness scores across distinct categories of High AI Adoption, Low AI Adoption groups, and varying levels of Governance Support, providing foundational summaries of central tendencies in the data.

2. Standard Deviation: Applied to evaluate the dispersion and variability inherent in AI Effectiveness measurements for both High AI Adoption and Low AI Adoption cohorts, as well as under different Governance Support conditions, highlighting data consistency and potential outliers.

3. Pearson Correlation: This method quantifies the strength and direction of linear bivariate relationships, specifically between High AI Adoption rates and AI Effectiveness outcomes, and between Governance Support scores and overall AI performance indicators across the dataset.

4. Independent Sample t-test: Utilised to statistically compare the mean differences in AI Effectiveness between the independent groups of High AI Adoption banks versus Low AI Adoption banks, with Governance Support levels incorporated as a key moderator variable to assess group disparities.

These techniques collectively facilitated hypothesis testing at a 5% significance level with preliminary checks for normality, homogeneity of variance, and multicollinearity to uphold validity.

RESULTS

Hypothesis 1

H₀₁: Artificial Intelligence does not significantly influence ESG integration, climate risk assessment, and green banking performance.

Table 1: Impact of AI Adoption on Sustainable Finance Performance

Variable	N	Mean	SD	Calculated t-value	Table value (at 5% significant)	df
High AI Adoption	68	4.18	0.54	5.69	1.98	118
Low AI Adoption	52	3.56	0.63			

From Table 1, it can be observed that the calculated t-value (5.69) is greater than the table value (1.98) at df = 118. Therefore, the null hypothesis was rejected. This indicates a significant difference in sustainable finance performance between institutions with high and low AI adoption.

The mean score of institutions with high AI adoption (M = 4.18) was significantly higher than that of institutions with low AI adoption (M = 3.56). This finding

suggests that AI adoption positively influences ESG integration, climate risk assessment, and green banking efficiency.

Therefore, Hypothesis 1 is accepted in favour of the alternative hypothesis.

Hypothesis 2

H₀₂ : Governance and regulatory frameworks do not significantly affect the effectiveness of AI adoption in sustainable finance.

Table 2: Correlation between Governance Support and AI Effectiveness

Variables	Mean	SD	r-value	t-value	Significance
Governance Support	3.92	0.58	0.61	8.36	Significant
AI Effectiveness	4.05	0.49			

The Pearson correlation coefficient (r = 0.61) indicates a strong positive relationship between government and regulatory support, and AI effectiveness in sustainable finance.

Since the correlation is significant at the 0.05 level, the null hypothesis is rejected.

This implies that stronger governance frameworks, regulatory clarity, and policy support significantly enhance the effectiveness of AI systems in ESG evaluation and green banking performance.

Further descriptive analysis revealed the following:

- i. 74% respondents agreed that AI improves ESG scoring accuracy.
- ii. 69% agreed that AI enhances climate stress testing.
- iii. 72% reported faster green credit appraisal due to AI-based systems.
- iv. 66% expressed concerns about data privacy and algorithm transparency.

Table 3: Perceived Benefits of AI in Sustainable Finance

Indicator	Agree (%)	Neutral (%)	Disagree (%)
AI improves ESG accuracy	74%	18%	8%
AI enhances climate risk modelling	69%	21%	10%
AI improves green credit decisions	72%	17%	11%
Governance improves AI efficiency	76%	14%	10%

Table 3 presents the percentage distribution of respondents' perceptions regarding the benefits of Artificial Intelligence in sustainable finance and green banking operations.

The results indicate strong agreement among financial professionals regarding the positive impact of AI technologies.

- A substantial majority (74%) agreed that AI improved ESG scoring accuracy. This suggests that AI systems enhance consistency, reduce subjectivity, and improve the reliability of sustainability metrics.

- 69% of respondents agreed that AI enhances climate risk modelling. This indicates a growing recognition of AI's capability to perform predictive analytics and scenario-based stress testing in climate-sensitive financial environments.

- 72% reported that AI improved green credit appraisal processes. This indicates that an AI-driven credit evaluation integrates environmental performance indicators more efficiently into lending decisions.

- The highest agreement (76%) was observed for governance and regulatory support to enhance AI effectiveness. This finding aligns with Hypothesis 2, confirming that institutional and regulatory frameworks significantly influence successful AI integration.

The comparatively low percentage of disagreement (8–11%) across indicators suggests broad acceptance of AI-enabled sustainable finance mechanisms within the banking sector.

DISCUSSION

The findings of the present study provide empirical support for the transformative role of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in sustainable finance and green banking. The independent sample t-test results (t = 5.69, p < 0.05) indicate a statistically significant difference in sustainable finance performance between institutions with high (M = 4.18) and low AI adoption (M = 3.56). This substantial mean difference confirms that AI-driven institutions demonstrate stronger ESG integration, improved climate risk assessments, and more efficient green credit evaluation systems. These findings validate Hypothesis 1 and reinforce the argument that AI enhances analytical precision and operational efficiency in sustainability-focused financial systems.

Further, Pearson's correlation analysis revealed a strong positive relationship (r = 0.61, t = 8.36, p < 0.05) between governance/regulatory support and AI effectiveness. This suggests that regulatory clarity, policy frameworks, and institutional oversight significantly strengthen the implementation and performance of AI tools in green banking. The statistical significance of this relationship supports Hypothesis 2, which emphasises that technological innovation alone is insufficient without regulatory alignment.

The descriptive results in Table 3 further substantiate these findings. The majority of respondents (74%) agreed that AI improves ESG scoring accuracy, while 72% acknowledged its contribution to green credit appraisal. Additionally, 76% emphasised the importance of governance support in maximising AI efficiency. Low disagreement levels across indicators reflect growing institutional confidence in AI-enabled sustainability systems.

This study demonstrates that AI functions as a structural enabler of sustainable financial transformation when supported by robust governance frameworks.

CONCLUSION

This study examined the role of Artificial Intelligence in strengthening sustainable finance and green banking systems, focusing on ESG integration, climate risk modelling, and regulatory influence. The findings confirm that AI adoption significantly enhances sustainable financial performance. Institutions with higher AI implementation

have reported stronger ESG accuracy, improved climate risk assessment mechanisms, and more efficient green credit allocation processes.

The statistically significant difference between the high and low AI adoption groups highlights the measurable impact of AI technologies on sustainability outcomes. Furthermore, the strong positive correlation between governance support and AI effectiveness demonstrates that regulatory frameworks play a critical role in enabling technological integration. AI systems operate effectively when supported by structured policies, compliance mechanisms, and institutional transparency.

The study also revealed broad professional acceptance of AI in sustainable finance, with the majority of respondents recognising its benefits in ESG scoring, climate analytics, and credit appraisal. However, effective implementation requires a continued focus on governance oversight, data quality management, and ethical safeguards.

These insights point to practical steps ahead: banks in places like India should ramp up AI while tightening rules from bodies like the RBI to ensure fair data handling and cut biases. Looking forward, studies could test AI with blockchain for green bonds or live climate tracking tools, helping even small banks catch up on sustainability.

From the above study, it can be concluded that Artificial Intelligence represents a strategic catalyst for advancing sustainable finance and green banking objectives. When aligned with robust regulatory frameworks, AI enhances predictive accuracy, operational efficiency, and environmental accountability within financial institutions. This study contributes to the social science and financial governance literature by demonstrating that technological innovation and policy support must function jointly to achieve long-term sustainable financial resilience.

REFERENCES

1. Berg, F., Koelbel, J. F., & Rigobon, R. (2022). Aggregate confusion: The divergence of ESG ratings. *Review of Finance*, 26(6), 1315–1344. <https://doi.org/10.1093/rof/rfac033>
2. Bolton, P., & Kacperczyk, M. (2021). Do investors care about carbon risk? *Journal of Financial Economics*, 142(2), 517–549. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfineco.2021.05.008>
3. Fatemi, A., Glaum, M., & Kaiser, S. (2018). ESG performance and firm value: The moderating role of disclosure. *Global Finance Journal*, 38, 45–64. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gfj.2017.03.001>
4. Fuster, A., Goldsmith-Pinkham, P., Ramadorai, T., & Walther, A. (2022). Predictably unequal? The effects of machine learning on credit markets. *Journal of Finance*, 77(1), 5–47. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jofi.13090>
5. Giglio, S., Kelly, B., & Stroebe, J. (2021). Climate risk. *Annual Review of Financial Economics*, 13, 15–36. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-financial-102620-103311>
6. Jiang, F., Ma, Y., & Shi, B. (2020). Artificial intelligence in financial markets. *Financial Innovation*, 6(1), 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40854-020-00173-0>
7. Khan, M. Y. (2020). *Financial services* (10th ed.). McGraw Hill Education.
8. Kothari, R. (2018). *Green banking in India: Issues and challenges*. New Century Publications.
9. O'Neil, C. (2016). *Weapons of math destruction: How big data increases inequality and threatens democracy*. Crown Publishing Group.
10. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD). (2023). *Artificial intelligence in finance: Regulatory implications*. OECD Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1787/ai-finance-2023-en>
11. Pasquale, F. (2015). *The black box society: The secret algorithms that control money and information*. Harvard University Press.
12. Reserve Bank of India. (2022). *Discussion paper on climate risk and sustainable finance*. RBI. <https://www.rbi.org.in>
13. Scholtens, B. (2006). *Finance and corporate social responsibility: Exploring the connections*. Edward Elgar Publishing.
14. Schoenmaker, D. (2017). *Investing for the common good: A sustainable finance framework*. Bruegel.
15. Weber, O. (2017). University of Toronto Press.
16. World Bank. (2022). *Green finance and climate risk management report*. World Bank Publications.